



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/990,039	11/20/2001	Mika Grundstrom	4208-4028	1500
85775	7590	08/11/2009		
Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell LLP			EXAMINER	
Attn: IP Docketing			SHAW, PELING ANDY	
Three World Financial Center				
New York, NY 10281-2101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2444	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2009	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptopatentcommunication@lockelord.com

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

09/990,039

**Applicant(s)**

GRUNDSTROM, MIKA

**Examiner**

PELING A. SHAW

**Art Unit**

2444

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PELING A. SHAW.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Elliot Frank (reg. no. 56,641).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 112.

Identification of prior art discussed: Momirov (US 6216167 B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: applicant asks for further clarification on Advisory Action mailed on 07/27/2009. Examiner has explained the position of Office as per Advisory Action. Applicant is advised to file RCE or amend as per Decision on Appeal mailed on 06/08/2009 for further office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Peling A Shaw/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2444